Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,143	WATSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	

All Participants:

(1) *Phallaka Kik*.

(2) *Michael E. Whitham (Reg. No. 32,635)*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 June 2006*

Time: *5:09PM*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1-23

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims are subjected to restriction requirement, Applicant's Representative elected to prosecute the invention of claims 1-20 without traverse.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)